Dave, B., Kubler, S., Pikas, E., Holmström, J., Singh, V., Främling, K., Koskela, L. and Peltokorpi, A., 2015. Intelligent products: shifting the production control logic in construction (with Lean and BIM). In: *Proc. 23rd Ann. Conf. of the Int'l. Group for Lean Construction*. Perth, Australia, July 29-31, pp. 341-350, available at www.iglc.net

INTELLIGENT PRODUCTS: SHIFTING THE PRODUCTION CONTROL LOGIC IN CONSTRUCTION (WITH LEAN AND BIM)

Bhargav Dave[1], Sylvain Kubler[2], Ergo Pikas[3], Jan Holmström[4], Vishal Singh[5], Kary Främling[6], Lauri Koskela[7], and Antti Peltokorpi[8]

ABSTRACT

Production management and control in construction has not been addressed/updated ever since the introduction of Critical Path Method and the Last Planner® system. The predominant outside-in control logic and a fragmented and deep supply chain in construction significantly affect the efficiency over a lifecycle. In a construction project, a large number of organisations interact with the product throughout the process, requiring a significant amount of information handling and synchronisation between these organisations. However, due to the deep supply chains and problems with lack of information integration, the information flow down across the lifecycle poses a significant challenge. This research proposes a product centric system, where the control logic of the production process is embedded within the individual components from the design phase. The solution is enabled by a number of technologies and tools such as Building Information Modelling, Internet of Things, Messaging Systems and within the conceptual process framework of Lean Construction. The vision encompasses the lifecycle of projects from design to construction and maintenance, where the products can interact with the environment and its actors through various stages supporting a variety of actions. The vision and the tools and technologies required to support it are described in this paper.

KEYWORDS

Building Information Modelling (BIM), intelligent products, lean construction, building lifecycle management.

[1] Senior Researcher, Civil and Structural Engineering Dept, Aalto University, Finland,+358 50 4364717,bhargav.dave@aalto.fi
[2] PostDoc, Researcher, School of Science, Aalto University, Finland.
[3] Ph.D Candidate, Civil and Structural Engineering Dept., Aalto University, Finland,Ergo.Pikas@aalto.fi
[4] Professor, School of Science, Aalto University, Finland,+358 50 5980451, Kary.Framling@aalto.fi
[5] Assistant Professor, Civil and Structural Engineering, Aalto University, Finland,vishal.singh@aalto.fi
[6] Professor, School of Science, Aalto University, Finland,+358 50 5980451, Kary.Framling@aalto.fi
[7] Professor, Civil and Structural Engineering, Aalto University, Finland,lauri.koskela@aalto.fi
[8] Assistant Professor, Civil and Structural Engineering, Aalto University, Finland.

INTRODUCTION

Information and communication systems and novel management concepts are evolving faster than ever before enabling construction companies work more effectively and efficiently, however the industry has not been able to achieve the desired benefits (Stewart and Mohamed, 2004; Adriaanse, Voordijk and Dewulf, 2010; Kang, O'Brien and Mulva, 2013). However, most of these new concepts are developed in isolation and do not sufficiently balance the people and process aspects, and have not been able to improve the core construction processes (Dave, et al., 2008). Therefore, there lies an opportunity for building a new framework that can provide a comprehensive technological and process solution supporting construction lifecycle.

Management in construction traditionally relies on "push" based logic, for example the production management process based on CPM (Critical Path Method), where the plans are pushed from the top (Ballard, 2000; Ballard, et al., 2002). Even though, lean production and Last Planner® are based on the "pull" logic, the predominant information delivery and control logic supports "push" based processes (Dave, et al., 2014). This coupled with the separation of product and process (production) information, and in general the separation of information from "product individual" makes information management and flow difficult across the supply chain (Kärkkäinen, et al., 2003).

This research aims to introduce a new production logic for construction, one where the control logic of production, i.e. assembling instructions, sequencing and manufacturing, and information about the product is linked to the product itself and "travels" through the production lifecycle, (i.e. from conceptual design through to construction and facilities management). As such, the proposed technologies or concepts on their own are not new, but their emergence and maturing is opportune to the development of the proposed concept for construction. The idea of product centric control (Kärkkäinen, et al., 2003) has been successfully tried in manufacturing environment, and with a limited scope in logistics process in construction (Ala-Risku and Kärkkäinen, 2006). However, the proposed vision builds on these ideas and attempts to address the construction lifecycle. The paper begins with a critical review of the current production management and its major components. In the following section the proposed solution is outlined and its major building blocks explained. A case study and potential application scenarios are presented next followed by a discussion and the conclusion.

THE VISION – INTELLIGENT PRODUCTS IN CONSTRUCTION

A product centric vision – as shown in Figure 1, where the contextual operative logic of a product individual to support the lifecycle is embedded (or linked) within individual components already from the design phase. The vision covers the lifecycle of the production process from design to construction and maintenance, where the products can interact with the environment and its actors supporting a variety of actions. This new vision will help designers focus on value provision by making available real-life, context sensitive data from previous installations, enable self-organization of construction projects, reduce the cost of owning facilities, facilitate feedback function for improving product design. For developing the new framework,

we use the theory of technology as a basis together with an inside out logic; BIM as a platform for modelling product and process information; the internet of things for connecting different realities (virtual and physical); and direct connection to manufacturing. Whilst all these have been maturing separately, these concepts are now brought into one common framework.



Figure 1: Product Centric Information Management (based on Kärkkäinen, et al., 2003)

CONCEPTUAL UNDERPINNINGS

Production planning and control affect construction processes directly, and have been one of the major aspects affecting construction productivity. Koskela (2000) attributes many of the problems related to the planning process in construction to the lack of an explicit theory and the predominant "Transformation" or "T" view of production. Koskela (2000) argues that this has led to the neglect of "flow" and "value" views in production and in turn has resulted in wasteful processes. The direct manifestation of this "T" approach can be seen in how the projects are organised and managed, as the activity guidelines/instructions for the next step in production are always pushed from outside of the production system (often according a CPM plan/schedule) and the flow of information is often dependent on systems external to production.

From a technological viewpoint, Building Information Modelling (BIM) has the potential to transform the way products/building elements are managed in construction supply chain (Eastman, et al., 2011; Aram, Eastman and Sacks, 2013). BIM not only provides a product modelling platform but an information management platform that can serve stages of entire project lifecycle. (Sacks, et al., 2010) have discussed the synergistic potential of lean construction and BIM across the project lifecycle. While these synergies have been realised in individual implementations and projects, there is not a systematic exploitation strategy, and a general lack of integrating technologies or systems that help realise these synergies. In particular, the

aspect of information flow and communication across supply chain is the one where there are major gaps (Stewart and Mohamed, 2004; Adriaanse, Voordijk and Dewulf, 2010) . While the product centric control logic idea has been proposed and trialled for logistics process in construction (Ala-Risku and Kärkkäinen, 2006), it has not been applied across the lifecycle. Some of the main problems that the vision tries to overcome are outlined in the following section.

PROBLEMS WITH THE FRAGMENTED SUPPLY CHAIN

The construction industry is highly fragmented with a large number of small companies operating in the sector. Over the last 30 years the industry has increasingly grown risk averse and relies mostly on subcontracted workers to execute projects. (Dainty, Millett and Briscoe, 2001). Figure 2 shows the dominance of small and medium size (SME) companies in construction, where the Large and Medium size only form 0.7% of the overall proportion. This severe fragmentation in the supply chain makes it increasingly difficult for information to be synchronised and communicated at various lifecycle stages. Dainty, Millett and Briscoe (2001) report that the UK construction sector is a long way from being able to achieve true supply chain integration and that an adversarial culture is ingrained within industry's operating practices, where a general mistrust between companies prevail.

Proportion of Firms by Size Category

Size (No. of Employees)	Micro (1-9)	Small (10-49)	Medium (50-249)	Large (250+)
GB[1]	89.8	9.4	0.6	0.1
France[2]	91.6	7.7	0.7	0.1
Germany[2]				
Structural Firms Only	69	27	3.7	0.4
All Construction Firms	95			
US	81.1	16.3	2.4	0.1

1. Size bands for UK are 1-7, 8-59, 60-299, over 299
2. Medium Size Band 50-199

Source: DTI, Hauptverband der Bauindustrie, Service, Economique et Statistique, US Census Bureau

Figure 2: Proportion of Construction Firms by Size (DTI, 2004).

ALIGNMENT OF VALUE IN SUPPLY CHAIN

The above mentioned fragmentation means that a single company has typically a limited role in a construction supply-chain and it tries to capture value from upstream and downstream partners for its own use (Matthyssens,Vandenbempt and Goubau, 2008). This has led to a product centric business logic in which value is seen to be created when technically functional product or solution is sold and delivered to customer. However, recent research underscores that value is fundamentally derived and determined only in use - the integration and application of resources in a specific context (Vargo, Maglio and Akaka, 2008). With current practices, lack of appropriate information about how to use products during its life-cycle from production to delivery, assembly and maintenance, lead to waste of resources and decreased overall value.

NEED TO DESIGN FOR LIFECYCLE/OPTIMISE TOTAL COST OF OWNERSHIP

Most decisions at the design stage are largely made in isolation from life-cycle aspects through local optimization (Reed, 2009). Some of the reasons behind this include managerial and technological limitations (Koskela, 2007). Global life-cycle optimization either for cost, building performance or user experience requires

different organizational structures, as information from all domains are typically needed for making accurate life-cycle assessments (Putnam, 1985; Forgues and Koskela, 2008). Design is based on direct costs, at best on short-term profitability. Even when the lifecycle performance of a building or building subsystem is modeled, an unknown gap between potential and actual performance remains in the absence of tools and methodologies to spot opportunities.

The results of these information gaps are that costs are higher and performance lower than would be possible (Clark, 1991). This represents a significant waste of resources in design and construction and ongoing derision of value in use and operations. In the presence of the information gaps the service providers and solution developers remain unable to systematically improve performance of buildings in use or improve the design of solutions based on evidence (Reed, 2009).

WHAT DOES THIS RESEARCH PROPOSE

The central tenet of the intelligent product vision is to either embed or link contextual product and process related information, which needs to be communicated to actors operating on them across the supply chain, within the products themselves. With this, the products "flow" across the lifecycle "demanding" actions to be performed on them and providing necessary information needed to do so. The products collect information about their performance, either automatically through sensors or qualitative feedback from users, which can then be used to analyse its performance in its given contextual space. The basic building blocks and the role they play are provided in this section. These building blocks consist of technological components in BIM, IoT (Internet of Things) based communication systems, Agents and process and people related enabler in Lean Construction.

BUILDING INFORMATION MODELLING

Building Information Modelling (BIM) plays a central role in this concept. Products start their life as virtual representations in the BIM system and are assigned an URI (Uniform Resource Identifier, used to locate and link information across web) (or recognised with an existing one) from their inception in BIM, and also when in physical form (i.e. when it is purchased/assembled/constructed) and is associated with the product for its lifecycle. For example, by selecting a product in BIM from a manufacturer's catalogue will link all the product specification, installation and tolerance related information that is available from the manufacturer's system. This information is not integrated or input in the BIM model but only linked to it using the URI of the product. This way the model remains "light" and yet enriched with information. Although BIM systems may not be needed to input the information or store in the database/model, they should have appropriate user interfaces in order for users to interact with the information and visualise it.

INTERNET OF THINGS (IOT)

Like BIM, IoT also plays a key role in the proposed concept, as it provides the infrastructure where each individual product or indeed any object, organisation or entity within a project can be assigned a URI and information attached to it, which can then be accessed through appropriate interfaces. The IoT concept is nowadays mainly used for describing a network of physical objects that contain embedded

technology to communicate and sense or interact with their internal states or the external environment. The IoT encompasses hardware (the things themselves), embedded software, communications services and information services associated with the things. In practice, the IoT concept also includes data systems that contain information about those physical objects, such as design and manufacturing documents, service records etc.

STANDARDS FOR IOT COMMUNICATION

A communication framework for the IoT has been developed by the IoT Work Group of The Open Group (formerly called Quantum Lifecycle Messaging: QLM) that enables system-system, system-human and human-system communication, and also plays a key role in the concept. The communication standard has a potential to address the construction project lifecycle with BoL (Beginning of Life), MoL (Middle of Life) and EoL (End of Life) stages as depicted in Figure 3. Communication is at a centre stage in construction as the information has to be delivered to the right actor at the right time and in addition information has to be captured at the right moment (also in the field or on the move when concerned with logistics). The Open Group standards enable such a dynamic exchange of information to support the product lifecycle at each stage as shown in Figure 3 through the O-MI cloud.



Figure 3: Open Group IoT Standards across the Project Lifecycle.

AGENTS

The notion of virtual enterprise (Aerts, Szirbik and Goossenaerts, 2002) describes a setting where supply chains become increasingly dynamic and network-like. Agents have been used for representing the participants of the supply chain, e.g. order acquisition agents, logistics agents, transportation agents, scheduling agents etc.(Fox, Barbuceanu and Teigen, 2000). The purpose of the agent architecture is typically to model, simulate and analyze supply chain operations in order to achieve better control

of them (Scholz-Reiter and Höhns, 2003). Product items can have associated agents (Holmström, et al., 2002; Kärkkäinen, et al., 2003), which can greatly simplify access to product information. It can also simplify updating product information in tracking applications, for instance. In a multi-company setting, agents usually communicate over Internet connections.

Internet has become nearly ubiquitous for companies in all developed countries, making point-to-point connections obsolete. So if Internet access is available, there is no point in moving all product data along with the physical product. A challenge is that the link should be valid for the whole product life cycle. The information should also be constantly available (24/7).

As shown in Figure 4, in the agent model, information is fetched and/or updated only when needed. Information access can be split into two main functions, namely:

1. Accessing product data. Typical product data that needs to be accessed are user instructions, maintenance records, assembly instructions etc.
2. Updating product data. Typical updates concern tracking of shipments, maintenance records, status monitoring of machines etc.



Figure 4: The "agent model" for real-time access to product information.

MULTI-AGENT SYSTEM AND INTELLIGENT PRODUCTS

Multi-agent systems add another layer to agent intelligence, because in multi-agent systems there is an opportunity to exploit collective intelligence, which is greater than the sum of the parts. In such a scenario it is possible to achieve fairly complex set of tasks using simpler agents, because the complexity is achieved through the interaction between the agents and the knowledge distributed across the different agents.

The ability to deal with complex tasks with fairly simple agents is particularly relevant to the proposed view of intelligent products. While construction projects and the information flow in such projects are known to be complex, the control logic and sub-tasks can be broken down to simpler rules at individual product levels. Thus, the rules and logic encoded within each product can be simple, but the ability for these products to interact with each other, and the human agents around them, will allow complex set to actions and activities to be realized within the construction projects.

LEAN CONSTRUCTION

Lean construction plays a central role in the intelligent products concept through the application of “pull” production concept and also through alignment of value across the supply chain. The underlying motivation behind intelligent products concept is to maximize value generation (or minimize value loss) and reduction of waste due at all lifecycle stages – the central tenets of lean.

While the concept proposes to automate several scheduling and control functions, it still relies on collaboration between project team that could be achieved by the Last Planner System. In production management the vision support “just in time” logistics and pull production by automatically scheduling deliveries and requesting next task

action based on current status. Also, it aims to support Lean Design techniques such as Target Value Design and Choosing By Advantages by providing real-life data about components/previous designs when designing for new projects.

POTENTIAL SCENARIOS

JUST-IN-TIME LOGISTICS BASED ON PRODUCTION STATUS

Resource management on construction sites is one of the most important areas from production management perspective (Koskela, 2000; Ballard, et al., 2002). Through intelligent products, the individual components and assemblies will have the sequence and control logic of the production embedded or attached with them already from the design phase. Through multi agents and IoT framework and a pull based production system, the products will themselves "know" when the next operation that needs to be performed on them and the related schedule. Hence, a product would "call" for delivery from a manufacturer or a supplier when it is ready to be shipped to the site. Once on site, the product would provide information about its location and "call" the worker when it is ready to be installed. Such production logic would be extended to the lifecycle of the product and can even include design and operations.

DESIGN LIFECYCLE ANALYSIS

The concept of intelligent products can become resourceful for designers and engineers building new structures. Spaces are needed for fulfilling client's functional requirements and if one considers space also as a product, even though abstract, then feedback loop from previously built buildings and their actual spatial performance can facilitate building workspace planning (Pennanen, 2004). Based on programming, performance requirements can be assigned to these spaces, e.g. what should be the level of humidity, temperature, air volume exchange, safety etc. What is fundamentally important here, is how different elements become sub-systems, and systems as a whole building. Therefore, intelligent products can support the synthetic integration of basic entities into greater wholes for meeting client functional needs and performance requirements. Building information modelling combined with lean design practices such as target costing, target value design, choosing by advantages can benefit from intelligent products as it helps to maintain the whole life-cycle view of designing product either in building programming, developing a conceptual design or choosing proper physical structures and products.

LEAN MAINTENANCE

The operations and maintenance phase of a built facility accounts for the major share of project cost and resource consumption, hence it can have a significant impact on the realized project value. One of the key characteristics of the maintenance related issues is their time criticality and potential disruption of routine. Typical maintenance issues can disrupt existing value-delivering activities that are already running smoothly. With effective information management such disruptions can not only be reduced, but potentially prevented. Thus, among other approaches, maintenance response time and preventive maintenance are seen as two important pathways to technology-enabled lean maintenance. While such trends are already visible in current building automation systems, the intelligent product approach extends the

possibilities to a new dimension. In the new paradigm, the various systems and sub-systems can also be envisioned as agents that interact through instant messaging, self-diagnose and self-organize, reducing the information delay, reducing the layers of information exchange, and prevent potential waste that may occur due to cascading damages that could result from delayed maintenance of a critical sub-system.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

There are significant problems with production and supply chain management, information management and design management within the construction lifecycle. There have been attempts to provide solutions to individual areas including lean construction techniques of design management, supply chain alignment and production management and control. However, there is not yet a unified vision to address these problems across the entire lifecycle. The proposed vision attempts to tackle these problems through a combination of process-product-technology solutions. It is an ambitious vision, where most building blocks have individually proven their merit, however it is hypothesized that when combined their collective benefits will be much more significant. It is also anticipated that there will be many obstacles in realizing this vision, and it is a medium to long-term vision that has a potential to change the built environment lifecycle.

REFERENCES

Adriaanse, A., Voordijk, H. and Dewulf, G., 2010. The use of interorganisational ICT in United States construction projects. *Automation in Construction,* 19(1), pp.73-83.

Aerts, A. T. M., Szirbik, N. B. and Goossenaerts, J. B., 2002. A flexible, agent-based ICT architecture for virtual enterprises. *Computers in Industry,* 49(3), pp.311-327.

Ala-Risku, T. and Kärkkäinen, M., 2006. Material delivery problems in construction projects: a possible solution. *International Journal of Production Economics,* 104(1), pp.19-29.

Aram, S., Eastman and C., Sacks, R., 2013. Requirements for BIM platforms in the concrete reinforcement supply chain. *Automation in Constuction.* 35, pp.1-17.

Ballard, G., Tommelein, I., Koskela and L., Howell, G., 2002. Lean construction tools and techniques. *Design Construction and Build. Value,* pp.227-54.

Ballard, H. G., 2000. *The last planner system of production control*. Ph.D. The University of Birmingham.

Dainty, A. R. J., Millett, S. J. and Briscoe, G. H., 2001. New perspectives on construction supply chain integration. I*nternational Journal of Supply Chain Management, 6*, pp.163-173.

Dave, B., Koskela, L., Kagioglou, M. and Bertelsen, S., 2008. A critical look at integrating people, process and information systems within the construction sector. In: *Proc. 16th Ann. Conf. of the Int'l Group for Lean Construction,* Manchester, UK, July 16-18.

Dave, B., Kubler, S., Främling, K., Koskela, L., 2014. Addressing information flow in lean production management and control in construction, In: *Proc. 22nd Ann. Conf. of the Int'l Group for Lean Construction,* Oslo, Norway, June 23-27.

Eastman, C. M., Teicholz, P., Sacks, R., and Liston, K., 2011. *BIM handbook: A guide to building information modeling for owners, managers, designers, engineers and contractors, 2nd Ed.* Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Fox, M. S., Barbuceanu, M. and Teigen, R., 2000. Agent-oriented supply-chain management. *International Journal of Flexible Manufacturing System,* 12, pp.165-188.

Helin, H., 2003. *Supporting nomadic agent-based applications in the FIPA agent architecture*. In: Proc. of the 1st Int'l Joint Conf. on Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems, Melbourne, Australia, Jul. 14-18

Holmström, J., Främling, K., Tuomi, J., Kärkkäinen, M. and Ala-Risku, T., 2002. Implementing collaboration process networks. *International Journal of Logistics Managment,* 13(2*),* pp.39-50.

Jennings, N. R., Sycara, K. and Wooldridge, M., 1998. A roadmap of agent research and development. *Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent System,* 1(1), pp.7-38.

Kang, Y., O'Brien, W.J. and Mulva, S.P., 2013. Value of IT: Indirect impact of IT on construction project performance via best practices. *Automation in Construction,* 35, pp. 383-396.

Kärkkäinen, M., Holmström, J., Främling, K. and Artto, K., 2003. Intelligent products - A step towards a more effective project delivery chain. *Computers in Industry, 50*, pp.141–151.

Koskela, L., 2000. *An exploration towards a production theory and its application to construction*. Ph.D. VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland, Espoo.

Langer, G. and Alting, L., 2000. An architecture for agile shop floor control systems. *Journal of Manufacturing System, 19*, pp.267-281.

Luckham, D., 2002. *The power of events*. Reading: Addison-Wesley.

Matthyssens, P., Vandenbempt, K. and Goubau, C., 2008. Value capturing as a balancing act. *Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing, 24*, pp.56-60.

Pennanen, A., 2004. *User activity based workspace definition as an instrument for workplace management in multi-user organizations*. Tampere; Department of Architecture, University of Tampere, Finland.

Reed, B., 2009. *The integrative design guide to green building: Redefining the practice of sustainability*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley and Sons.

Sacks, R., Koskela, L., Dave, B. A. and Owen, R., 2010. Interaction of lean and building information modeling in construction. *Journal of Construction. Engineering and Management,* 136(9), pp.968-980.

Scholz-Reiter, B. and Höhns, H., 2003. Integrated software agents: enabling technology for collaborative E-logistics and E-business. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing,* 16(7-8), pp.517-525.

Simon, H. A., 1981. *The sciences of the artificial. USA:* MIT press

Stewart, R. A. and Mohamed, S., 2004. Evaluating web-based project information management in construction: capturing the long-term value creation process. *Automation in Construction 13*, pp.469-479.

Vargo, S.L., Maglio, P.P. and Akaka, M.A., 2008. On value and value co-creation: A service systems and service logic perspective. *European Management Journal,* 26(3), pp.145-152.